

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/521,742	03/09/2000	Lars Hammarstrom	49122	2762	
7	1590 10/21/2002				
Peter F Corless			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Dike Bronstein Roberts & Cushman LLP 130 Water Street Boston, MA 02109			HARRIS, ALANA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	10	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	(1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/521,742	HAMMARSTROM ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 June 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 					
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	,				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s): <u>112, 2nd rejections against cla</u>	aims 28-46.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ıld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-46</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-27</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)□ approved or b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0. Other:		11)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' arguments have been considered, however they fail to obviate the pending 112, 1st enablement rejection. Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered and appear to be the same as existing arguments of record. There is insufficient scientific reasoning enabling the claimed invention. The skilled worker would still need to implement a great deal of experimentation in order to perform the claimed treatment method on the vast number of epithelially derived malignant or benign neoplasms to ascertain numerous enamel matrix derivatives' effectiveness. The disclosure does not enable the treatment method or present sufficient scientific reasoning to render the said method predictable. For the reasons of record the rejection is maintained.

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCHINER
TECHNOLOGY CERTER TOOCO